

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

DISTRICT OF NH  
**FILED**

2020 AUG 11 A 8:36

Sensa Verogna, Plaintiff, )  
v. )  
Twitter Inc., Defendant. )

Case #: 1:20-cv-00536-SMOSITORY

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY AND MOL TO  
DEFENDANT'S OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Plaintiff, pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(e) (2), moves, for leave to file a reply and MOL to Defendant's Objection to the Motion for Reconsideration [Doc. 38-39]. As grounds for this Motion, Plaintiff states as follows:

1. On July 22, 2020, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Reconsideration [Doc. 38-39].

2. On August 4, 2020, Defendant filed his Objection [Doc. 43].

3. Plaintiff believes his brief reply and memorandum, attached hereto as Exhibit A, will assist the Court in determining the issues raised in Defendant's Objection [Doc. 43] and that it is important that the Plaintiff have the opportunity to respond to the absurd notion that Pro Hac Vice attorneys are required to sign pleadings presented to this Court and that an appearance and notice to the Plaintiff is the only or minimum bar required to satisfy an appearance in response to a Summons.

29 4. Plaintiff, sought Defendant's concurrence to the relief  
30 sought through this motion, but Defendant has not grant such  
31 concurrence.

32  
33 WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, respectfully requests that this  
34 Honorable Court:

35 A. Enter an order granting Plaintiff leave to file its reply  
36 memorandum, in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A; and

37 B. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems  
38 just.

39  
40 Respectfully,

41   
42 \_\_\_\_\_  
43 /s/Plaintiff, Anonymously as Sensa Verogna  
SensaVerogna@gmail.com

44  
45 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

46 I hereby certify that on this 11th day of August 2020, the  
47 foregoing document was made upon the Defendant, through its  
48 attorneys of record to Jonathan M. Eck jeck@orr-reno.com and  
49 Julie E. Schwartz, Esq., JSchwartz@perkinscoie.com